	Application No.			Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	mary	09/380,614		ALBY, ALBERT	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Nicholas Woodall		3733	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>					
(1) Nicholas Woodall.		(3) <u>Eduardo Robert</u> .			
(2) <u>John Schaefer</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 9 May 2007		Time:			
	☐ Applic	ant's representative)			,
Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A				·	
Claims discussed: 1-6					
Prior art documents discussed: N/A					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brie</li> </ul>	ation. The	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a writtei of the i	n summary of the nterview, since	ne substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applican	t/Applicant's Representa	ative Sig	nature – if appro	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Schaefer regarding a possible examiner's amendment to claim 1 to place the application into allowance. After an updated search the examiner found priort art that teaches a nut with a plate mounted in rotation within the bore of the nut that is capable of being combined with similar prior art references lacking that specific feature. The applicant's representative did not feel comfortable granting the examiner's amendment and requested a non-final office action be drafted and mailed out..